



**IN THE FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL
GENERAL REGULATORY CHAMBER**

**Appeal ref:
CA/2015/0002**

**THE TRIBUNAL PROCEDURE (FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL) (GENERAL
REGULATORY CHAMBER) RULES 2009**

**Name of appellant: Cambridgeshire Target Shooting Association
Respondent: The Charity Commission for England and Wales
Application by appellant**

**NOTICE OF DECISION OF
APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION TO APPEAL**

I have decided not to review the decision
Permission to appeal the decision is refused

REASONS

The grounds are misconceived.

Ground 1: This seeks to insert words into the relevant legislation that Parliament did not put there and which cannot properly be inferred. The Tribunal applied *exactly* the correct test: see paragraph 51 of the decision. In any event, the Tribunal's factual findings were that the evidence was such that no material connection had been shown between the forms of shooting, which it is possible exclusively to carry on within the Association's objects, and *any* health benefit, whether one (wrongly) interposes the words "tend to lead to health benefits" or not. So much is evident from paragraphs 51 to 74 of the decision.

Ground 2: The Tribunal's task was not to critique the respondent's allegedly inconsistent approach to amateur sport but to decide whether the respondent's decision in this case was right or wrong.

Ground 3: This is merely a disagreement about the weight that the Tribunal decided to place (or not) on the oral and written evidence. It discloses no arguable error of law.

Signed

**Judge Peter Lane
President
Date: 27 January 2016**