



**Appeal number: CA/2015/0009**

**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL (CHARITY)  
GENERAL REGULATORY CHAMBER**

**CROCELS COMMUNITY MEDIA GROUP**

**Appellant**

**- and -**

**THE CHARITY COMMISSION  
FOR ENGLAND AND WALES**

**Respondents**

**TRIBUNAL: JUDGE ALISON MCKENNA**

**Sitting in Chambers on 1 April 2016**

**DIRECTIONS**

Having considered both parties' applications for amendment to Judge Lane's Directions of 25 January 2016

**IT IS DIRECTED** as follows:

1. The Appellant's witness statements made by Jonathan Bishop dated 15 March 2016 and Paul Cantrill dated 8 March 2016 are admitted.
2. Paragraph 7 of the Tribunal's Directions of 25 January 2016 is varied to replace "28 March 2016" with "29 March 2016".
3. The Appellant's application for permission to file a further witness statement is refused.

4. The Appellant's application for further variations of the Directions of 25 January 2016 is refused.

5. The parties have permission to apply to vary these directions or to apply for further directions provided such application is in writing setting out the full reasons for the application and (where applicable) before the time limit for complying with the direction has been reached.

### **REASONS**

1. The above Directions are given to effect the re-timetabling required as a result of the Appellant's failure to meet the time limits in the earlier Directions.
2. I have considered the Appellant's reasons for requesting permission to file a further witness statement, but I am not persuaded that further witness evidence of the type he describes would assist the Tribunal in determining the issue before it.
3. There is no need to make further Directions for skeleton arguments to be filed by the parties as this is already permitted by paragraphs 10 and 11 of the earlier Directions.
4. There is no need to make further Directions regarding the bundle of authorities as this is already dealt with by paragraphs 12 to 15 of the earlier Directions. The parties are required to co-operate with each other regarding the preparation of the bundle in accordance with the overriding objective and I have every confidence that the Respondent will assist the Appellant (as a litigant in person) with copies of any authorities which are not readily available to him.

Alison McKenna

**PRINCIPAL JUDGE**  
**1 April 2016**

**© CROWN COPYRIGHT 2016**