

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL GENERAL REGULATORY CHAMBER Charity

| Tribunal Reference: | CA/2013/0003 & CRR/2013/0001 |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Appellant: | Mountstar (PTC) Limited |
| Respondent: | The Charity Commission |
| Judge: | NJ Warren |

CASE MANAGEMENT NOTE

- 1. I have considered the notice of appeal and notice of application in the light of Rule 2 of the GRC Procedure Rules.
- 2. The Charity Commission has already provided detailed statements of reasons under Section 86 Charities Act 2011 in respect of the decisions taken under Section 76 of that Act. There is also a three page explanation by letter of the decision taken under Section 46 to open a statutory enquiry. Having read the grounds of appeal, it seems to me it would be disproportionate to expect the Charity Commission to respond to the appeal at this stage. This is because the grounds of challenge are, at present, too vague and unspecified.
- 3. Accordingly, this notice changes the time limit for responding.
- 4. Within one month, Mountstar (PTC) Ltd must send to the tribunal and to the Charity Commission detailed grounds of the appeal/application. The grounds of the application should be set out separately from the grounds in relation to the appeals so as to reflect the difference in the Tribunal's jurisdiction over the two matters.
- 5. In each case, Mountstart (PTC) Ltd must state clearly those elements in the Commission's reasons and decision letter which are disputed. In respect of each area of dispute Mountstart (PTC) Ltd must state:-

Case Management Note Continued Tribunal Reference Number: CA/2013/0003 & CRR/2013/0001

Appellant:Mountstar (PTC) LimitedDate of decision:1 May 2013

- (a) Why the assertion made by the Commission is wrong.
- (b) What assertion Mountstar (PTC) Ltd makes.
- (c) The evidence upon which Mountstar (PTC) Ltd relies for asserting that the Commission's reasoning is false.
- 6. The Charity Commission's time for responding to the appeal will start to run instead from the date the Commission receives the detailed grounds.

A party is entitled to challenge any instruction given in this note by applying to the Judge.

(Signed on the original)

NJ Warren Chamber President Dated 1 May 2013