



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
GENERAL REGULATORY CHAMBER  
CHARITY**

**Tribunal Reference:** CA/2015/0003  
**Appellant:** The Steadfast Trust  
**Respondent:** The Charity Commission  
**Judge:** Peter Lane

**CASE MANAGEMENT NOTE**

1. By letter dated 30 November 2015, Messrs Tilbrooks say that they are “not entirely sure” what is meant by the closing words of the first sentence of paragraph 4 of the directions issued on 18 November 2015. They query whether it is intended to limit the evidence the appellant intends to submit on the issue of a racial group.
2. I consider the sentence is clear. But for the avoidance of doubt, I shall say the following.
3. The respondent’s case (as summarised in its letter of 10 November 2015) is that “there is a strong line of persuasive authority, originating from the higher courts, which states that there is no ‘English’ *ethnic* origin (as opposed to a broader category of being of English *national* origin)”. The appellant’s case is understood to be that its objects are legally certain, in terms which are narrower than merely being of English *national* origin. Paragraph 4 of the directions enables the appellant to adduce evidence to make good this case. The appellant may seek to do so by reference to all or any of the “limbs” mentioned in the letter of 30 November.

**Judge Peter Lane  
Chamber President**

**Dated 2 December 2015**